

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/502,058 | ICHI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Tri V. Nguyen | 1751 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Tri V. Nguyen.

(3) _____.

 (2) Gi-In An (applicant representative).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 5 November 2006
Time: 3pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 5-7, 11-16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An authorization for an Examiner's Amendment was granted by Applicant's Representative to cancel claims 5 and 11-15 without prejudice to their continued prosecution in a continuation application, to amend claims 1, 2, 6, 7 and 16, the abstract and title to conform with the subject matter of the allowed claims.